



Cutek Extreme (EU)

Chemisys International Pty Ltd

Version No: 3.10

Safety Data Sheet according to WHS and ADG requirements

Chemwatch Hazard Alert Code: 2

Issue Date: 09/12/2015

Print Date: 09/12/2015

Initial Date: 17/03/2015

L.GHS.AUS.EN

SECTION 1 IDENTIFICATION OF THE SUBSTANCE / MIXTURE AND OF THE COMPANY / UNDERTAKING

Product Identifier

| | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Product name | Cutek Extreme (EU) |
| Synonyms | Not Available |
| Proper shipping name | ENVIRONMENTALLY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE, LIQUID, N.O.S. (contains 3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate) |
| Other means of identification | Not Available |

Relevant identified uses of the substance or mixture and uses advised against

| | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Relevant identified uses | Decorative wood coating |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|

Details of the supplier of the safety data sheet

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Registered company name | Chemisys International Pty Ltd |
| Address | P. O. Box 3604 Loganholme Queensland Australia |
| Telephone | 1300 128835; +61 438 923248 |
| Fax | +61 7 32877288 |
| Website | www.chemisys.com.au |
| Email | admin@chemisys.com.au |

Emergency telephone number

| | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| Association / Organisation | Not Available |
| Emergency telephone numbers | +61 438 923248 |
| Other emergency telephone numbers | +61 405 935409 |

SECTION 2 HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION

Classification of the substance or mixture

HAZARDOUS CHEMICAL. DANGEROUS GOODS. According to the WHS Regulations and the ADG Code.

COMBUSTIBLE LIQUID, regulated for storage purposes only

CHEMWATCH HAZARD RATINGS

Continued...

Cutek Extreme (EU)

| | Min | Max |
|--------------|-----|-----|
| Flammability | 1 | |
| Toxicity | 1 | |
| Body Contact | 2 | |
| Reactivity | 1 | |
| Chronic | 1 | |

0 = Minimum
1 = Low
2 = Moderate
3 = High
4 = Extreme

| | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Poisons Schedule | Not Applicable |
| GHS Classification [1] | Eye Irritation Category 2A, Aspiration Hazard Category 1, STOT - SE (Narcosis) Category 3, Acute Aquatic Hazard Category 2, Chronic Aquatic Hazard Category 2, Flammable Liquid Category 4, Skin Corrosion/Irritation Category 2 |
| Legend: | 1. Classified by Chemwatch; 2. Classification drawn from HSIS ; 3. Classification drawn from EC Directive 1272/2008 - Annex VI |

Label elements

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| GHS label elements |  |
|---------------------------|---|

| | |
|--------------------|---------------|
| SIGNAL WORD | DANGER |
|--------------------|---------------|

Hazard statement(s)

| | |
|-------------|---|
| H319 | Causes serious eye irritation |
| H304 | May be fatal if swallowed and enters airways |
| H336 | May cause drowsiness or dizziness |
| H401 | Toxic to aquatic life |
| H411 | Toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects |
| H227 | Combustible liquid |
| H315 | Causes skin irritation |

Precautionary statement(s) Prevention

| | |
|-------------|--|
| P210 | Keep away from heat/sparks/open flames/hot surfaces. - No smoking. |
| P271 | Use only outdoors or in a well-ventilated area. |
| P261 | Avoid breathing dust/fume/gas/mist/vapours/spray. |
| P273 | Avoid release to the environment. |
| P280 | Wear protective gloves/protective clothing/eye protection/face protection. |

Precautionary statement(s) Response

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| P301+P310 | IF SWALLOWED: Immediately call a POISON CENTER or doctor/physician. |
| P331 | Do NOT induce vomiting. |
| P362 | Take off contaminated clothing and wash before reuse. |
| P370+P378 | In case of fire: Use alcohol resistant foam or normal protein foam for extinction. |
| P305+P351+P338 | IF IN EYES: Rinse cautiously with water for several minutes. Remove contact lenses, if present and easy to do. Continue rinsing. |
| P312 | Call a POISON CENTER or doctor/physician if you feel unwell. |
| P337+P313 | If eye irritation persists: Get medical advice/attention. |
| P391 | Collect spillage. |
| P302+P352 | IF ON SKIN: Wash with plenty of soap and water. |
| P304+P340 | IF INHALED: Remove victim to fresh air and keep at rest in a position comfortable for breathing. |
| P332+P313 | If skin irritation occurs: Get medical advice/attention. |

Precautionary statement(s) Storage

| | |
|------------------|--|
| P403+P235 | Store in a well-ventilated place. Keep cool. |
| P405 | Store locked up. |

Cutek Extreme (EU)

P403+P233 Store in a well-ventilated place. Keep container tightly closed.

Precautionary statement(s) Disposal

P501 Dispose of contents/container in accordance with local regulations.

SECTION 3 COMPOSITION / INFORMATION ON INGREDIENTS**Substances**

See section below for composition of Mixtures

Mixtures

| CAS No | %[weight] | Name |
|---------------|-----------|--|
| 64742-55-8. | 30-60 | <u>paraffinic distillate, light, hydrotreated (severe)</u> |
| Not Available | 10-30 | aromatic hydrocarbons |
| 64742-47-8 | 10-30 | <u>distillates, petroleum, light, hydrotreated</u> |
| Not Available | <10 | phosphoric esters |
| 55406-53-6 | <1 | <u>3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate</u> |
| 64359-81-5 | <1 | <u>4,5-dichloro-2-octyl-3(2H)-isothiazolone</u> |

SECTION 4 FIRST AID MEASURES**Description of first aid measures**

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| Eye Contact | <p>If this product comes in contact with the eyes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Wash out immediately with fresh running water. ▶ Ensure complete irrigation of the eye by keeping eyelids apart and away from eye and moving the eyelids by occasionally lifting the upper and lower lids. ▶ Seek medical attention without delay; if pain persists or recurs seek medical attention. ▶ Removal of contact lenses after an eye injury should only be undertaken by skilled personnel. |
| Skin Contact | <p>If skin contact occurs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Immediately remove all contaminated clothing, including footwear. ▶ Flush skin and hair with running water (and soap if available). ▶ Seek medical attention in event of irritation. <p>For thermal burns:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Decontaminate area around burn. ▶ Consider the use of cold packs and topical antibiotics. <p>For first-degree burns (affecting top layer of skin)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Hold burned skin under cool (not cold) running water or immerse in cool water until pain subsides. ▶ Use compresses if running water is not available. ▶ Cover with sterile non-adhesive bandage or clean cloth. ▶ Do NOT apply butter or ointments; this may cause infection. ▶ Give over-the counter pain relievers if pain increases or swelling, redness, fever occur. <p>For second-degree burns (affecting top two layers of skin)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Cool the burn by immerse in cold running water for 10-15 minutes. ▶ Use compresses if running water is not available. ▶ Do NOT apply ice as this may lower body temperature and cause further damage. ▶ Do NOT break blisters or apply butter or ointments; this may cause infection. ▶ Protect burn by cover loosely with sterile, nonstick bandage and secure in place with gauze or tape. <p>To prevent shock: (unless the person has a head, neck, or leg injury, or it would cause discomfort):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Lay the person flat. ▶ Elevate feet about 12 inches. ▶ Elevate burn area above heart level, if possible. ▶ Cover the person with coat or blanket. ▶ Seek medical assistance. <p>For third-degree burns</p> <p>Seek immediate medical or emergency assistance.</p> <p>In the mean time:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Protect burn area cover loosely with sterile, nonstick bandage or, for large areas, a sheet or other material that will not leave lint in wound. ▶ Separate burned toes and fingers with dry, sterile dressings. ▶ Do not soak burn in water or apply ointments or butter; this may cause infection. ▶ To prevent shock see above. ▶ For an airway burn, do not place pillow under the person's head when the person is lying down. This can close the airway. ▶ Have a person with a facial burn sit up. ▶ Check pulse and breathing to monitor for shock until emergency help arrives. |

Continued...

Cutek Extreme (EU)

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| Inhalation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ If fumes or combustion products are inhaled remove from contaminated area. ▶ Lay patient down. Keep warm and rested. ▶ Prostheses such as false teeth, which may block airway, should be removed, where possible, prior to initiating first aid procedures. ▶ Apply artificial respiration if not breathing, preferably with a demand valve resuscitator, bag-valve mask device, or pocket mask as trained. Perform CPR if necessary. ▶ Transport to hospital, or doctor. |
| Ingestion | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ If swallowed do NOT induce vomiting. ▶ If vomiting occurs, lean patient forward or place on left side (head-down position, if possible) to maintain open airway and prevent aspiration. ▶ Observe the patient carefully. ▶ Never give liquid to a person showing signs of being sleepy or with reduced awareness; i.e. becoming unconscious. ▶ Give water to rinse out mouth, then provide liquid slowly and as much as casualty can comfortably drink. ▶ Seek medical advice. ▶ Avoid giving milk or oils. ▶ Avoid giving alcohol. ▶ If spontaneous vomiting appears imminent or occurs, hold patient's head down, lower than their hips to help avoid possible aspiration of vomitus. |

Indication of any immediate medical attention and special treatment needed

Any material aspirated during vomiting may produce lung injury. Therefore emesis should not be induced mechanically or pharmacologically. Mechanical means should be used if it is considered necessary to evacuate the stomach contents; these include gastric lavage after endotracheal intubation. If spontaneous vomiting has occurred after ingestion, the patient should be monitored for difficult breathing, as adverse effects of aspiration into the lungs may be delayed up to 48 hours.

Treat symptomatically.

- ▶ Heavy and persistent skin contamination over many years may lead to dysplastic changes. Pre-existing skin disorders may be aggravated by exposure to this product.
- ▶ In general, emesis induction is unnecessary with high viscosity, low volatility products, i.e. most oils and greases.
- ▶ High pressure accidental injection through the skin should be assessed for possible incision, irrigation and/or debridement.

NOTE: Injuries may not seem serious at first, but within a few hours tissue may become swollen, discoloured and extremely painful with extensive subcutaneous necrosis. Product may be forced through considerable distances along tissue planes.

SECTION 5 FIREFIGHTING MEASURES**Extinguishing media**

- ▶ Foam.
- ▶ Dry chemical powder.
- ▶ BCF (where regulations permit).
- ▶ Carbon dioxide.
- ▶ Water spray or fog - Large fires only.

Special hazards arising from the substrate or mixture

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Fire Incompatibility | ▶ Avoid contamination with oxidising agents i.e. nitrates, oxidising acids, chlorine bleaches, pool chlorine etc. as ignition may result |
|-----------------------------|--|

Advice for firefighters

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Fire Fighting | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Alert Fire Brigade and tell them location and nature of hazard. ▶ Wear full body protective clothing with breathing apparatus. ▶ Prevent, by any means available, spillage from entering drains or water course. ▶ Use water delivered as a fine spray to control fire and cool adjacent area. ▶ Avoid spraying water onto liquid pools. ▶ DO NOT approach containers suspected to be hot. ▶ Cool fire exposed containers with water spray from a protected location. ▶ If safe to do so, remove containers from path of fire. |
| Fire/Explosion Hazard | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Combustible. ▶ Slight fire hazard when exposed to heat or flame. ▶ Heating may cause expansion or decomposition leading to violent rupture of containers. ▶ On combustion, may emit toxic fumes of carbon monoxide (CO). ▶ May emit acrid smoke. ▶ Mists containing combustible materials may be explosive. <p>Combustion products include; carbon dioxide (CO₂) sulfur oxides (SO_x) other pyrolysis products typical of burning organic material CARE: Water in contact with hot liquid may cause foaming and a steam explosion with wide scattering of hot oil and possible severe burns. Foaming may cause overflow of containers and may result in possible fire.</p> |

SECTION 6 ACCIDENTAL RELEASE MEASURES

Cutek Extreme (EU)

Personal precautions, protective equipment and emergency procedures

| Minor Spills | <p>Environmental hazard - contain spillage. Slippery when spilt.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Clean up all spills immediately. ▶ Avoid breathing vapours and contact with skin and eyes. ▶ Control personal contact with the substance, by using protective equipment. ▶ Contain and absorb spill with sand, earth, inert material or vermiculite. ▶ Wipe up. ▶ Place in a suitable, labelled container for waste disposal. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--------------|-------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|------------------------------------|---|--------|--------|----------|-------------------------------|---|-------|-----------|------------|---------------------|---|-------|-----------|---------------|----------------------------|---|-------|-----------|---------|----------------------------|---|--------|--------|---------|-----------------------|---|-------|-----------|---------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|------------------------------------|---|--------|-------------|---------|-------------------------------|---|-------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|---|--------|-------------|---------|-----------------------------|---|--------|-------------|------------|--------------------------------|---|--------|-------------|-----------------|---------------------|---|-------|-------------|---------|
| Major Spills | <p>Environmental hazard - contain spillage. Chemical Class: aliphatic hydrocarbons For release onto land: recommended sorbents listed in order of priority.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">SORBENT TYPE</th> <th style="text-align: left;">RANK</th> <th style="text-align: left;">APPLICATION</th> <th style="text-align: left;">COLLECTION</th> <th style="text-align: left;">LIMITATIONS</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="5">LAND SPILL - SMALL</td> </tr> <tr> <td>cross-linked polymer - particulate</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td>shovel</td> <td>shovel</td> <td>R, W, SS</td> </tr> <tr> <td>cross-linked polymer - pillow</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td>throw</td> <td>pitchfork</td> <td>R, DGC, RT</td> </tr> <tr> <td>wood fiber - pillow</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2</td> <td>throw</td> <td>pitchfork</td> <td>R, P, DGC, RT</td> </tr> <tr> <td>treated wood fibre- pillow</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2</td> <td>throw</td> <td>pitchfork</td> <td>DGC, RT</td> </tr> <tr> <td>sorbent clay - particulate</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3</td> <td>shovel</td> <td>shovel</td> <td>R, I, P</td> </tr> <tr> <td>foamed glass - pillow</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3</td> <td>throw</td> <td>pitchfork</td> <td>R, P, DGC, RT</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="5">LAND SPILL - MEDIUM</td> </tr> <tr> <td>cross-linked polymer - particulate</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td>blower</td> <td>skid loader</td> <td>R,W, SS</td> </tr> <tr> <td>cross-linked polymer - pillow</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2</td> <td>throw</td> <td>skid loader</td> <td>R, DGC, RT</td> </tr> <tr> <td>sorbent clay - particulate</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3</td> <td>blower</td> <td>skid loader</td> <td>R, I, P</td> </tr> <tr> <td>polypropylene - particulate</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3</td> <td>blower</td> <td>skid loader</td> <td>W, SS, DGC</td> </tr> <tr> <td>expanded mineral - particulate</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4</td> <td>blower</td> <td>skid loader</td> <td>R, I, W, P, DGC</td> </tr> <tr> <td>polypropylene - mat</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4</td> <td>throw</td> <td>skid loader</td> <td>DGC, RT</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Legend DGC: Not effective where ground cover is dense R; Not reusable I: Not incinerable P: Effectiveness reduced when rainy RT: Not effective where terrain is rugged SS: Not for use within environmentally sensitive sites W: Effectiveness reduced when windy Reference: Sorbents for Liquid Hazardous Substance Cleanup and Control; R.W Melvold et al: Pollution Technology Review No. 150: Noyes Data Corporation 1988</p> <p>Slippery when spilt.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Absorb or contain isothiazolinone liquid spills with sand, earth, inert material or vermiculite. ▶ The absorbent (and surface soil to a depth sufficient to remove all of the biocide) should be shovelled into a drum and treated with an 11% solution of sodium metabisulfite (Na₂S₂O₅) or sodium bisulfite (NaHSO₃), or 12% sodium sulfite (Na₂SO₃) and 8% hydrochloric acid (HCl). ▶ Glutathione has also been used to inactivate the isothiazolinones. ▶ Use 20 volumes of decontaminating solution for each volume of biocide, and let containers stand for at least 30 minutes to deactivate microbicide before disposal. ▶ If contamination of drains or waterways occurs, advise emergency services. ▶ After clean up operations, decontaminate and launder all protective clothing and equipment before storing and re-using. | SORBENT TYPE | RANK | APPLICATION | COLLECTION | LIMITATIONS | LAND SPILL - SMALL | | | | | cross-linked polymer - particulate | 1 | shovel | shovel | R, W, SS | cross-linked polymer - pillow | 1 | throw | pitchfork | R, DGC, RT | wood fiber - pillow | 2 | throw | pitchfork | R, P, DGC, RT | treated wood fibre- pillow | 2 | throw | pitchfork | DGC, RT | sorbent clay - particulate | 3 | shovel | shovel | R, I, P | foamed glass - pillow | 3 | throw | pitchfork | R, P, DGC, RT | LAND SPILL - MEDIUM | | | | | cross-linked polymer - particulate | 1 | blower | skid loader | R,W, SS | cross-linked polymer - pillow | 2 | throw | skid loader | R, DGC, RT | sorbent clay - particulate | 3 | blower | skid loader | R, I, P | polypropylene - particulate | 3 | blower | skid loader | W, SS, DGC | expanded mineral - particulate | 4 | blower | skid loader | R, I, W, P, DGC | polypropylene - mat | 4 | throw | skid loader | DGC, RT |
| SORBENT TYPE | RANK | APPLICATION | COLLECTION | LIMITATIONS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LAND SPILL - SMALL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| cross-linked polymer - particulate | 1 | shovel | shovel | R, W, SS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| cross-linked polymer - pillow | 1 | throw | pitchfork | R, DGC, RT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| wood fiber - pillow | 2 | throw | pitchfork | R, P, DGC, RT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| treated wood fibre- pillow | 2 | throw | pitchfork | DGC, RT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| sorbent clay - particulate | 3 | shovel | shovel | R, I, P | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| foamed glass - pillow | 3 | throw | pitchfork | R, P, DGC, RT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LAND SPILL - MEDIUM | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| cross-linked polymer - particulate | 1 | blower | skid loader | R,W, SS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| cross-linked polymer - pillow | 2 | throw | skid loader | R, DGC, RT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| sorbent clay - particulate | 3 | blower | skid loader | R, I, P | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| polypropylene - particulate | 3 | blower | skid loader | W, SS, DGC | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| expanded mineral - particulate | 4 | blower | skid loader | R, I, W, P, DGC | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| polypropylene - mat | 4 | throw | skid loader | DGC, RT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Personal Protective Equipment advice is contained in Section 8 of the SDS.

SECTION 7 HANDLING AND STORAGE

Precautions for safe handling

| | |
|----------------------|---|
| Safe handling | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Containers, even those that have been emptied, may contain explosive vapours. ▶ Do NOT cut, drill, grind, weld or perform similar operations on or near containers. ▶ Electrostatic discharge may be generated during pumping - this may result in fire. ▶ Ensure electrical continuity by bonding and grounding (earthing) all equipment. |
|----------------------|---|

Continued...

Cutek Extreme (EU)

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Restrict line velocity during pumping in order to avoid generation of electrostatic discharge (≤ 1 m/sec until fill pipe submerged to twice its diameter, then ≤ 7 m/sec). ▶ Avoid splash filling. ▶ Do NOT use compressed air for filling discharging or handling operations. ▶ Avoid all personal contact, including inhalation. ▶ Wear protective clothing when risk of exposure occurs. ▶ Use in a well-ventilated area. ▶ Prevent concentration in hollows and sumps. ▶ DO NOT enter confined spaces until atmosphere has been checked. ▶ Avoid smoking, naked lights or ignition sources. ▶ Avoid contact with incompatible materials. ▶ When handling, DO NOT eat, drink or smoke. ▶ Keep containers securely sealed when not in use. ▶ Avoid physical damage to containers. ▶ Always wash hands with soap and water after handling. ▶ Work clothes should be laundered separately. ▶ Use good occupational work practice. ▶ Observe manufacturer's storage and handling recommendations contained within this SDS. ▶ Atmosphere should be regularly checked against established exposure standards to ensure safe working conditions. ▶ DO NOT allow clothing wet with material to stay in contact with skin |
| Other information | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Store in original containers. ▶ Keep containers securely sealed. ▶ Store in a cool, dry, well-ventilated area. ▶ Store away from incompatible materials and foodstuff containers. ▶ Protect containers against physical damage and check regularly for leaks. ▶ Observe manufacturer's storage and handling recommendations contained within this SDS. |

Conditions for safe storage, including any incompatibilities

| | |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Suitable container | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Metal can or drum ▶ Packaging as recommended by manufacturer. ▶ Check all containers are clearly labelled and free from leaks. |
| Storage incompatibility | <p>CARE: Water in contact with heated material may cause foaming or a steam explosion with possible severe burns from wide scattering of hot material. Resultant overflow of containers may result in fire.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Avoid reaction with oxidising agents |



+ X + O + + +

X — Must not be stored together

O — May be stored together with specific preventions

+ — May be stored together

SECTION 8 EXPOSURE CONTROLS / PERSONAL PROTECTION

Control parameters

OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMITS (OEL)

INGREDIENT DATA

| Source | Ingredient | Material name | TWA | STEL | Peak | Notes |
|------------------------------|---|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Australia Exposure Standards | paraffinic distillate, light, hydrotreated (severe) | Oil mist, refined mineral | 5 mg/m ³ | Not Available | Not Available | Not Available |
| Australia Exposure Standards | distillates, petroleum, light, hydrotreated | Oil mist, refined mineral | 5 mg/m ³ | Not Available | Not Available | Not Available |

EMERGENCY LIMITS

| Ingredient | Material name | TEEL-1 | TEEL-2 | TEEL-3 |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| 3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate | Butyl-3-iodo-2-propynylcarbamate | 3.3 mg/m ³ | 36 mg/m ³ | 220 mg/m ³ |
| Ingredient | Original IDLH | Revised IDLH | | |
| paraffinic distillate, light, hydrotreated (severe) | Not Available | Not Available | | |

Continued...

Cutek Extreme (EU)

| | | |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| aromatic hydrocarbons | Not Available | Not Available |
| distillates, petroleum, light, hydrotreated | Not Available | Not Available |
| phosphoric esters | Not Available | Not Available |
| 3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate | Not Available | Not Available |
| 4,5-dichloro-2-octyl-3(2H)-isothiazolone | Not Available | Not Available |

MATERIAL DATA

For paraffin waxes and hydrocarbon waxes a complex combination of hydrocarbons obtained from petroleum fractions by solvent crystallisation:

TLV TWA: 2 mg/m³

CEL TWA: 0.1 mg/m³; STEL 0.3 mg/m³ total isothiazolinones (Rohm and Haas)

(CEL = Chemwatch Exposure Limit)

for kerosene CAS 8008-20-6

TLV TWA: 100 mg/m³ as total hydrocarbon vapour Skin A3

OEL TWA: 14 ppm, 100 mg/m³ [NIOSH, 1985]

REL TWA: 150 ppm [Shell]

CEL TWA: 300 ppm, 900 mg/m³

(CEL = Chemwatch Exposure Limit)

for petroleum distillates:

CEL TWA: 500 ppm, 2000 mg/m³ (compare OSHA TWA)

(CEL = Chemwatch Exposure Limit)

NOTE M: The classification as a carcinogen need not apply if it can be shown that the substance contains less than 0.005% w/w benzo[a]pyrene (EINECS No 200-028-5). This note applies only to certain complex oil-derived substances in Annex IV.

European Union (EU) List of harmonised classification and labelling hazardous substances, Table 3.1, Annex VI, Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP) - up to the latest ATP

NOTE L: The classification as a carcinogen need not apply if it can be shown that the substance contains less than 3% DMSO extract as measured by IP 346.

European Union (EU) List of harmonised classification and labelling hazardous substances, Table 3.1, Annex VI, Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP) - up to the latest ATP

NOTE P: The classification as a carcinogen need not apply if it can be shown that the substance contains less than 0.01% w/w benzene (EINECS No 200-753-7). Note E shall also apply when the substance is classified as a carcinogen. This note applies only to certain complex oil-derived substances in Annex VI.


European Union (EU) List of harmonised classification and labelling hazardous substances, Table 3.1, Annex VI, Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP) - up to the latest ATP

Exposure controls

| | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Appropriate engineering controls | <p>Engineering controls are used to remove a hazard or place a barrier between the worker and the hazard. Well-designed engineering controls can be highly effective in protecting workers and will typically be independent of worker interactions to provide this high level of protection.</p> <p>The basic types of engineering controls are:</p> <p>Process controls which involve changing the way a job activity or process is done to reduce the risk.</p> <p>Enclosure and/or isolation of emission source which keeps a selected hazard "physically" away from the worker and ventilation that strategically "adds" and "removes" air in the work environment. Ventilation can remove or dilute an air contaminant if designed properly. The design of a ventilation system must match the particular process and chemical or contaminant in use.</p> <p>Employers may need to use multiple types of controls to prevent employee overexposure.</p> <p>Local exhaust ventilation usually required. If risk of overexposure exists, wear approved respirator. Correct fit is essential to obtain adequate protection. Supplied-air type respirator may be required in special circumstances. Correct fit is essential to ensure adequate protection.</p> <p>An approved self contained breathing apparatus (SCBA) may be required in some situations.</p> <p>Provide adequate ventilation in warehouse or closed storage area. Air contaminants generated in the workplace possess varying "escape" velocities which, in turn, determine the "capture velocities" of fresh circulating air required to effectively remove the contaminant.</p> | |
| | Type of Contaminant: | Air Speed: |
| | solvent, vapours, degreasing etc., evaporating from tank (in still air). | 0.25-0.5 m/s (50-100 f/min.) |
| | aerosols, fumes from pouring operations, intermittent container filling, low speed conveyer transfers, welding, spray drift, plating acid fumes, pickling (released at low velocity into zone of active generation) | 0.5-1 m/s (100-200 f/min.) |
| | direct spray, spray painting in shallow booths, drum filling, conveyer loading, crusher dusts, gas discharge (active generation into zone of rapid air motion) | 1-2.5 m/s (200-500 f/min.) |
| | grinding, abrasive blasting, tumbling, high speed wheel generated dusts (released at high initial velocity into zone of very high rapid air motion). | 2.5-10 m/s (500-2000 f/min.) |

Continued...

Cutek Extreme (EU)

| | <p>Within each range the appropriate value depends on:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Lower end of the range</th> <th>Upper end of the range</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1: Room air currents minimal or favourable to capture</td> <td>1: Disturbing room air currents</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2: Contaminants of low toxicity or of nuisance value only.</td> <td>2: Contaminants of high toxicity</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3: Intermittent, low production.</td> <td>3: High production, heavy use</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4: Large hood or large air mass in motion</td> <td>4: Small hood-local control only</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Simple theory shows that air velocity falls rapidly with distance away from the opening of a simple extraction pipe. Velocity generally decreases with the square of distance from the extraction point (in simple cases). Therefore the air speed at the extraction point should be adjusted, accordingly, after reference to distance from the contaminating source. The air velocity at the extraction fan, for example, should be a minimum of 1-2 m/s (200-400 f/min) for extraction of solvents generated in a tank 2 meters distant from the extraction point. Other mechanical considerations, producing performance deficits within the extraction apparatus, make it essential that theoretical air velocities are multiplied by factors of 10 or more when extraction systems are installed or used.</p> | Lower end of the range | Upper end of the range | 1: Room air currents minimal or favourable to capture | 1: Disturbing room air currents | 2: Contaminants of low toxicity or of nuisance value only. | 2: Contaminants of high toxicity | 3: Intermittent, low production. | 3: High production, heavy use | 4: Large hood or large air mass in motion | 4: Small hood-local control only |
|--|--|------------------------|------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Lower end of the range | Upper end of the range | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1: Room air currents minimal or favourable to capture | 1: Disturbing room air currents | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2: Contaminants of low toxicity or of nuisance value only. | 2: Contaminants of high toxicity | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3: Intermittent, low production. | 3: High production, heavy use | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4: Large hood or large air mass in motion | 4: Small hood-local control only | | | | | | | | | | |
| Personal protection |  | | | | | | | | | | |
| Eye and face protection | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Safety glasses with side shields. ▶ Chemical goggles. ▶ Contact lenses may pose a special hazard; soft contact lenses may absorb and concentrate irritants. A written policy document, describing the wearing of lenses or restrictions on use, should be created for each workplace or task. This should include a review of lens absorption and adsorption for the class of chemicals in use and an account of injury experience. Medical and first-aid personnel should be trained in their removal and suitable equipment should be readily available. In the event of chemical exposure, begin eye irrigation immediately and remove contact lens as soon as practicable. Lens should be removed at the first signs of eye redness or irritation - lens should be removed in a clean environment only after workers have washed hands thoroughly. [CDC NIOSH Current Intelligence Bulletin 59], [AS/NZS 1336 or national equivalent] | | | | | | | | | | |
| Skin protection | See Hand protection below | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hands/feet protection | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Wear chemical protective gloves, e.g. PVC. ▶ Wear safety footwear or safety gumboots, e.g. Rubber <p>The selection of suitable gloves does not only depend on the material, but also on further marks of quality which vary from manufacturer to manufacturer. Where the chemical is a preparation of several substances, the resistance of the glove material can not be calculated in advance and has therefore to be checked prior to the application.</p> <p>The exact break through time for substances has to be obtained from the manufacturer of the protective gloves and has to be observed when making a final choice.</p> <p>Suitability and durability of glove type is dependent on usage. Important factors in the selection of gloves include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ frequency and duration of contact, ▶ chemical resistance of glove material, ▶ glove thickness and ▶ dexterity <p>Select gloves tested to a relevant standard (e.g. Europe EN 374, US F739, AS/NZS 2161.1 or national equivalent).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ When prolonged or frequently repeated contact may occur, a glove with a protection class of 5 or higher (breakthrough time greater than 240 minutes according to EN 374, AS/NZS 2161.10.1 or national equivalent) is recommended. ▶ When only brief contact is expected, a glove with a protection class of 3 or higher (breakthrough time greater than 60 minutes according to EN 374, AS/NZS 2161.10.1 or national equivalent) is recommended. ▶ Some glove polymer types are less affected by movement and this should be taken into account when considering gloves for long-term use. ▶ Contaminated gloves should be replaced. <p>Gloves must only be worn on clean hands. After using gloves, hands should be washed and dried thoroughly. Application of a non-perfumed moisturiser is recommended.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Butyl rubber gloves ▶ Nitrile rubber gloves | | | | | | | | | | |
| Body protection | See Other protection below | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other protection | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Overalls. ▶ P.V.C. apron. ▶ Barrier cream. ▶ Skin cleansing cream. ▶ Eye wash unit. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Thermal hazards | Not Available | | | | | | | | | | |

Respiratory protection

Type AK-P Filter of sufficient capacity. (AS/NZS 1716 & 1715, EN 143:2000 & 149:2001, ANSI Z88 or national equivalent)

Where the concentration of gas/particulates in the breathing zone, approaches or exceeds the "Exposure Standard" (or ES), respiratory protection is required.

Continued...

Cutek Extreme (EU)

Degree of protection varies with both face-piece and Class of filter; the nature of protection varies with Type of filter.

| Required Minimum Protection Factor | Half-Face Respirator | Full-Face Respirator | Powered Air Respirator |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| up to 10 x ES | AK-AUS P2 | - | AK-PAPR-AUS / Class 1 P2 |
| up to 50 x ES | - | AK-AUS / Class 1 P2 | - |
| up to 100 x ES | - | AK-2 P2 | AK-PAPR-2 P2 ^ |

^ - Full-face

A(All classes) = Organic vapours, B AUS or B1 = Acid gasses, B2 = Acid gas or hydrogen cyanide(HCN), B3 = Acid gas or hydrogen cyanide(HCN), E = Sulfur dioxide(SO₂), G = Agricultural chemicals, K = Ammonia(NH₃), Hg = Mercury, NO = Oxides of nitrogen, MB = Methyl bromide, AX = Low boiling point organic compounds(below 65 degC)

SECTION 9 PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

Information on basic physical and chemical properties

| Appearance | Amber | | |
|--|---------------|---|---------------|
| Physical state | Liquid | Relative density (Water = 1) | 0.89 |
| Odour | Not Available | Partition coefficient n-octanol / water | Not Available |
| Odour threshold | Not Available | Auto-ignition temperature (°C) | Not Available |
| pH (as supplied) | Not Available | Decomposition temperature | Not Available |
| Melting point / freezing point (°C) | Not Available | Viscosity (cSt) | 17-32 |
| Initial boiling point and boiling range (°C) | Not Available | Molecular weight (g/mol) | Not Available |
| Flash point (°C) | 80 | Taste | Not Available |
| Evaporation rate | Not Available | Explosive properties | Not Available |
| Flammability | Combustible. | Oxidising properties | Not Available |
| Upper Explosive Limit (%) | Not Available | Surface Tension (dyn/cm or mN/m) | Not Available |
| Lower Explosive Limit (%) | Not Available | Volatile Component (%vol) | Not Available |
| Vapour pressure (kPa) | Not Available | Gas group | Not Available |
| Solubility in water (g/L) | Immiscible | pH as a solution (1%) | Not Available |
| Vapour density (Air = 1) | Not Available | VOC g/L | 248 |

SECTION 10 STABILITY AND REACTIVITY

| | |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Reactivity | See section 7 |
| Chemical stability | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Unstable in the presence of incompatible materials. ▶ Product is considered stable. ▶ Hazardous polymerisation will not occur. |
| Possibility of hazardous reactions | See section 7 |
| Conditions to avoid | See section 7 |
| Incompatible materials | See section 7 |
| Hazardous decomposition products | See section 5 |

SECTION 11 TOXICOLOGICAL INFORMATION

Information on toxicological effects

| | |
|---------|--|
| Inhaled | Inhalation of vapours may cause drowsiness and dizziness. This may be accompanied by narcosis, reduced alertness, loss |
|---------|--|

Continued...

Cutek Extreme (EU)

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| | <p>of reflexes, lack of coordination and vertigo.</p> <p>Inhalation of vapours or aerosols (mists, fumes), generated by the material during the course of normal handling, may be damaging to the health of the individual.</p> <p>Limited evidence or practical experience suggests that the material may produce irritation of the respiratory system, in a significant number of individuals, following inhalation. In contrast to most organs, the lung is able to respond to a chemical insult by first removing or neutralising the irritant and then repairing the damage. The repair process, which initially evolved to protect mammalian lungs from foreign matter and antigens, may however, produce further lung damage resulting in the impairment of gas exchange, the primary function of the lungs. Respiratory tract irritation often results in an inflammatory response involving the recruitment and activation of many cell types, mainly derived from the vascular system.</p> <p>Acute effects from inhalation of high concentrations of vapour are pulmonary irritation, including coughing, with nausea; central nervous system depression - characterised by headache and dizziness, increased reaction time, fatigue and loss of co-ordination</p> <p>Central nervous system (CNS) depression may include nonspecific discomfort, symptoms of giddiness, headache, dizziness, nausea, anaesthetic effects, slowed reaction time, slurred speech and may progress to unconsciousness. Serious poisonings may result in respiratory depression and may be fatal.</p> <p>Inhalation of oil droplets/ aerosols may cause discomfort and may produce chemical pneumonitis.</p> |
| Ingestion | <p>Swallowing of the liquid may cause aspiration of vomit into the lungs with the risk of haemorrhaging, pulmonary oedema, progressing to chemical pneumonitis; serious consequences may result.</p> <p>Signs and symptoms of chemical (aspiration) pneumonitis may include coughing, gasping, choking, burning of the mouth, difficult breathing, and bluish coloured skin (cyanosis).</p> <p>Accidental ingestion of the material may be damaging to the health of the individual.</p> <p>Isothiazolinones are moderately to highly toxic by oral administration. The major signs of toxicity were severe gastric irritation, lethargy, and ataxia</p> |
| Skin Contact | <p>Evidence exists, or practical experience predicts, that the material either produces inflammation of the skin in a substantial number of individuals following direct contact, and/or produces significant inflammation when applied to the healthy intact skin of animals, for up to four hours, such inflammation being present twenty-four hours or more after the end of the exposure period. Skin irritation may also be present after prolonged or repeated exposure; this may result in a form of contact dermatitis (nonallergic). The dermatitis is often characterised by skin redness (erythema) and swelling (oedema) which may progress to blistering (vesiculation), scaling and thickening of the epidermis. At the microscopic level there may be intercellular oedema of the spongy layer of the skin (spongiosis) and intracellular oedema of the epidermis.</p> <p>The material may accentuate any pre-existing dermatitis condition</p> <p>Aqueous solutions of isothiazolinones may be irritating or even corrosive depending on concentration. Solutions containing more than 0.5% (5000 ppm active substance) may produce severe irritation of human skin whilst solutions containing more than 100 ppm may irritate the skin.</p> <p>The liquid may be miscible with fats or oils and may degrease the skin, producing a skin reaction described as non-allergic contact dermatitis. The material is unlikely to produce an irritant dermatitis as described in EC Directives .</p> <p>Open cuts, abraded or irritated skin should not be exposed to this material</p> <p>Entry into the blood-stream through, for example, cuts, abrasions, puncture wounds or lesions, may produce systemic injury with harmful effects. Examine the skin prior to the use of the material and ensure that any external damage is suitably protected.</p> |
| Eye | <p>Limited evidence exists, or practical experience suggests, that the material may cause eye irritation in a substantial number of individuals and/or is expected to produce significant ocular lesions which are present twenty-four hours or more after instillation into the eye(s) of experimental animals. Repeated or prolonged eye contact may cause inflammation characterised by temporary redness (similar to windburn) of the conjunctiva (conjunctivitis); temporary impairment of vision and/or other transient eye damage/ulceration may occur.</p> <p>Solutions containing isothiazolinones may produce corrosion of the mucous membranes and cornea. Instillation of 0.1 ml of an aqueous solution containing 560 ppm isothiazolinone into rabbit eye did not produce irritation whereas concentrations, typically around 3% and 5.5 %, were severely irritating or corrosive to the eye.. Symptoms included clouding of the cornea, chemosis and swelling of the eyelids.</p> |
| Chronic | <p>Limited evidence suggests that repeated or long-term occupational exposure may produce cumulative health effects involving organs or biochemical systems.</p> <p>The isothiazolinones are known contact sensitizers. Data are presented which demonstrate that, in comparison with the chlorinated and dichlorinated compounds which share immunological cross-reactivity, the non-chlorinated isothiazolinones have a lower potential for sensitization and no documented immunological cross-reaction with the chlorinated isothiazolinones. The risk of sensitization depends on how contact with the product occurs. The risk is greater when the skin barrier has been damaged and smaller when the skin is healthy. Dermatological studies have demonstrated that mixed isothiazolinone concentrations below 20 ppm may cause sensitisation and that allergic reactions can be provoked in sensitized persons even with concentrations in the range of 7-15 ppm active isothiazolinones.</p> <p>The isothiazolinones are a group of heterocyclic sulfur-containing compounds. In general all are electrophilic molecules containing an activated N-S bond that enables them with nucleophilic cell entities, thus exerting biocidal activity. A vinyl activated chlorine atom makes allows to molecule to exert greater antimicrobial efficiency but at the same time produces a greater potential for sensitisation.</p> <p>Several conclusions relating to the sensitising characteristics of the isothiazolinones may therefore be drawn* :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The strongest sensitizers are the chlorinated isothiazolinones. ▶ There are known immunological cross-reactions between at least 2 different chlorinated isothiazolinones. ▶ There appears to be no immunological cross reaction between non-chlorinated isothiazolinones and chlorinated isothiazolinones. ▶ Although classified as sensitizers, the nonchlorinated isothiazolinones are considerably less potent sensitizers than are the |

Cutek Extreme (EU)

- chlorinated isothiazolinones.
- By avoiding the use of chlorinated isothiazolinones, the potential to induce sensitisation is greatly reduced.
- Despite a significant percentage of the population having been previously sensitised to chlorinated and non-chlorinated species, it is likely that careful and judicious use of non-chlorinated isothiazolinones will result in reduced risk of allergic reactions in those persons.
- Although presently available data promise that several non-chlorinated isothiazolinones will offer effective antimicrobial protection in industrial and personal care products, it is only with the passage of time that proof of their safety in use or otherwise will become available.

* B.R. Alexander: Contact Dermatitis 2002, 46, pp 191-196

Although there have been conflicting reports in the literature, it has been reported by several investigators that isothiazolinones are mutagenic in *Salmonella typhimurium* strains (Ames test). Negative results were obtained in studies of the DNA-damaging potential of mixed isothiazolinones (Kathon) in mammalian cells *in vitro* and of cytogenetic effects and DNA-binding *in vivo*. The addition of rat liver S-9 (metabolic activation) reduced toxicity but did not eliminate mutagenicity. These compounds bind to the proteins in the S-9. At higher concentrations of Kathon the increase in mutagenicity may be due to an excess of unbound active compounds.

A study of cutaneous application of Kathon CG in 30 months, three times per week at a concentration of 400 ppm (0.04%) a.i. had no local or systemic tumourigenic effect in male mice. No dermal or systemic carcinogenic potential was observed. Reproduction and teratogenicity studies with rats, given isothiazolinone doses of 1.4-14 mg/kg/day orally from day 6 to day 15 of gestation, showed no treatment related effects in either the dams or in the fetuses

On the basis, primarily, of animal experiments, concern has been expressed by at least one classification body that the material may produce carcinogenic or mutagenic effects; in respect of the available information, however, there presently exists inadequate data for making a satisfactory assessment.

Principal route of exposure is by skin contact; lesser exposures include inhalation of fumes from hot oils, oil mists or droplets. Prolonged contact with mineral oils carries with it the risk of skin conditions such as oil folliculitis, eczematous dermatitis, pigmentation of the face (melanosis) and warts on the sole of the foot (plantar warts). With highly refined mineral oils no appreciable systemic effects appear to result through skin absorption.

Exposure to oil mists frequently elicits respiratory conditions, such as asthma; the provoking agent is probably an additive. High oil mist concentrations may produce lipid pneumonia although clinical evidence is equivocal. In animals exposed to concentrations of 100 mg/m³ oil mist, for periods of 12 to 26 months, the activity of lung and serum alkaline phosphatase enzyme was raised; 5 mg/m³ oil mist did not produce this response. These enzyme changes are sensitive early indicators of lung damage. Workers exposed to vapours of mineral oil and kerosene for 5 to 35 years showed an increased prevalence of slight basal lung fibrosis.

Repeated or prolonged exposure to mixed hydrocarbons may produce narcosis with dizziness, weakness, irritability, concentration and/or memory loss, tremor in the fingers and tongue, vertigo, olfactory disorders, constriction of visual field, paraesthesias of the extremities, weight loss and anaemia and degenerative changes in the liver and kidney. Chronic exposure by petroleum workers, to the lighter hydrocarbons, has been associated with visual disturbances, damage to the central nervous system, peripheral neuropathies (including numbness and paraesthesias), psychological and neurophysiological deficits, bone marrow toxicities (including hypoplasia possibly due to benzene) and hepatic and renal involvement. Chronic dermal exposure to petroleum hydrocarbons may result in defatting which produces localised dermatoses. Surface cracking and erosion may also increase susceptibility to infection by microorganisms. One epidemiological study of petroleum refinery workers has reported elevations in standard mortality ratios for skin cancer along with a dose-response relationship indicating an association between routine workplace exposure to petroleum or one of its constituents and skin cancer, particularly melanoma. Other studies have been unable to confirm this finding.

| | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|
| Cutek Extreme (EU) | TOXICITY | IRRITATION |
| | Not Available | Not Available |
| paraffinic distillate, light, hydrotreated (severe) | TOXICITY | IRRITATION |
| | Dermal (rabbit) LD50: >2000 mg/kg ^[1] | Not Available |
| | Inhalation (rat) LC50: 3.9 mg/L/4H ^[2] | |
| distillates, petroleum, light, hydrotreated | TOXICITY | IRRITATION |
| | Dermal (rabbit) LD50: >2000 mg/kg ^[1] | Not Available |
| | Oral (rat) LD50: >5000 mg/kg ^[1] | |
| 3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate | TOXICITY | IRRITATION |
| | dermal (rat) LD50: >2000 mg/kg* ^[2] | * [Yoshitomi and Troy Chem.WPL] |
| | Inhalation (rat) LC50: 0.680 mg/l/4h *g ^[2] | Eye: Irritating |
| | Oral (rat) LD50: 1056 mg/kg*t ^[2] | Skin: Slight irritant |

Cutek Extreme (EU)

| | | |
|--|--|---------------|
| 4,5-dichloro-2-octyl-3(2H)-isothiazolone | TOXICITY | IRRITATION |
| | Inhalation (rat) LC50: 0.758 mg/L/4h ^{*[2]} | Not Available |

Legend:

1. Value obtained from Europe ECHA Registered Substances - Acute toxicity 2. * Value obtained from manufacturer's SDS. Unless otherwise specified data extracted from RTECS - Register of Toxic Effect of chemical Substances

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Cutek Extreme (EU) | <p>No significant acute toxicological data identified in literature search.</p> <p>The materials included in the Lubricating Base Oils category are related from both process and physical-chemical perspectives;</p> <p>The potential toxicity of a specific distillate base oil is inversely related to the severity or extent of processing the oil has undergone, since:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The adverse effects of these materials are associated with undesirable components, and ▶ The levels of the undesirable components are inversely related to the degree of processing; ▶ Distillate base oils receiving the same degree or extent of processing will have similar toxicities; ▶ The potential toxicity of <i>residual base oils</i> is independent of the degree of processing the oil receives. ▶ The reproductive and developmental toxicity of the distillate base oils is inversely related to the degree of processing. <p>Unrefined & mildly refined distillate base oils contain the highest levels of undesirable components, have the largest variation of hydrocarbon molecules and have shown the highest potential carcinogenic and mutagenic activities. Highly and severely refined distillate base oils are produced from unrefined and mildly refined oils by removing or transforming undesirable components. In comparison to unrefined and mildly refined base oils, the highly and severely refined distillate base oils have a smaller range of hydrocarbon molecules and have demonstrated very low mammalian toxicity. Mutagenicity and carcinogenicity testing of residual oils has been negative, supporting the belief that these materials lack biologically active components or the components are largely non-bioavailable due to their molecular size.</p> <p>Toxicity testing has consistently shown that lubricating base oils have low acute toxicities. Numerous tests have shown that a lubricating base oil's mutagenic and carcinogenic potential correlates with its 3-7 ring polycyclic aromatic compound (PAC) content, and the level of DMSO extractables (e.g. IP346 assay), both characteristics that are directly related to the degree/conditions of processing</p> <p>Highly and Severely Refined Distillate Base Oils</p> <p>Acute toxicity: Multiple studies of the acute toxicity of highly & severely refined base oils have been reported. Irrespective of the crude source or the method or extent of processing, the oral LD50s have been observed to be >5 g/kg (bw) and the dermal LD50s have ranged from >2 to >5g/kg (bw). The LC50 for inhalation toxicity ranged from 2.18 mg/l to > 4 mg/l. When tested for skin and eye irritation, the materials have been reported as "non-irritating" to "moderately irritating"</p> <p>Testing in guinea pigs for sensitization has been negative</p> <p>Repeat dose toxicity: Several studies have been conducted with these oils. The weight of evidence from all available data on highly & severely refined base oils support the presumption that a distillate base oil's toxicity is inversely related to the degree of processing it receives. Adverse effects have been reported with even the most severely refined white oils - these appear to depend on animal species and/ or the peculiarities of the study.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The granulomatous lesions induced by the oral administration of white oils are essentially foreign body responses. The lesions occur only in rats, of which the Fischer 344 strain is particularly sensitive, ▶ The testicular effects seen in rabbits after dermal administration of a highly to severely refined base oil were unique to a single study and may have been related to stress induced by skin irritation, and ▶ The accumulation of foamy macrophages in the alveolar spaces of rats exposed repeatedly via inhalation to high levels of highly to severely refined base oils is not unique to these oils, but would be seen after exposure to many water insoluble materials. <p>Reproductive and developmental toxicity: A highly refined base oil was used as the vehicle control in a one-generation reproduction study. The study was conducted according to the OECD Test Guideline 421. There was no effect on fertility and mating indices in either males or females. At necropsy, there were no consistent findings and organ weights and histopathology were considered normal by the study's authors.</p> <p>A single generation study in which a white mineral oil (a food/ drug grade severely refined base oil) was used as a vehicle control is reported. Two separate groups of pregnant rats were administered 5 ml/kg (bw)/day of the base oil via gavage, on days 6 through 19 of gestation. In one of the two base oil dose groups, three malformed foetuses were found among three litters The study authors considered these malformations to be minor and within the normal ranges for the strain of rat.</p> <p>Genotoxicity:</p> <p><i>In vitro</i> (mutagenicity): Several studies have reported the results of testing different base oils for mutagenicity using a modified Ames assay Base oils with no or low concentrations of 3-7 ring PACs had low mutagenicity indices.</p> <p><i>In vivo</i> (chromosomal aberrations): A total of seven base stocks were tested in male and female Sprague-Dawley rats using a bone marrow cytogenetics assay. The test materials were administered via gavage at dose levels ranging from 500 to 5000 mg/kg (bw). Dosing occurred for either a single day or for five consecutive days. None of the base oils produced a significant increase in aberrant cells.</p> <p>Carcinogenicity: Highly & severely refined base oils are not carcinogens, when given either orally or dermally.</p> <p>For "kerosenes"</p> <p>Acute toxicity: Oral LD50s for three kerosenes (Jet A, CAS No. 8008-20-6 and CAS No. 64742-81-0) ranged from > 2 to >20 g/kg The dermal LD50s of the same three kerosenes were all >2.0 g/kg. Inhalation LC50 values in Sprague-Dawley rats for straight run kerosene (CAS No. 8008-20-6) and hydrodesulfurised kerosene (CAS No. 64742-81-0) were reported to be > 5 and > 5.2 mg/l, respectively. No mortalities in rats were reported in rats when exposed for eight hours to saturated vapor of deodorised kerosene (probably a desulfurised kerosene). Six hour exposures of cats to the same material produced an LC50 of >6.4 mg/l</p> <p>When tested in rabbits for skin irritation, straight run kerosene (CAS No. 8008-20-6) produced "moderate" to "severe" irritation. Six additional skin irritation studies on a range of kerosenes produced "mild" to "severe" irritation.</p> <p>An eye irritation in rabbits of straight run kerosene (CAS No. 8008-20-6) produced Draize scores of 0.7 and 2.0 (unwashed</p> |
|--------------------|--|

Continued...

Cutek Extreme (EU)

and washed eyes) at 1 hour. By 24 hours, the Draize scores had returned to zero. Eye irritation studies have also been reported for hydrodesulfurized kerosene and jet fuel. These materials produced more irritation in the unwashed eyes at 1 hour than had the straight run kerosene. The eye irritation persisted longer than that seen with straight run kerosene, but by day 7 had resolved.

Straight run kerosene (CAS No. 8008-20-6), Jet A, and hydrodesulfurized kerosene (CAS No. 64742-81-0) have not produced sensitisation when tested in guinea pigs

Repeat-Dose toxicity: Multiple repeat-dose toxicity studies have been reported on a variety of kerosenes or jet fuels. When applied dermally, kerosenes and jet fuels have been shown to produce dermal and systemic effects

Dose levels of 200, 1000 and 2000 mg/kg of a straight run kerosene (CAS No. 8008-20-6) were applied undiluted to the skin of male and female New Zealand white rabbits. The test material was applied 3x/week for 28 days. One male and one female in the 2000 mg/kg dose group found dead on days 10 and 24 respectively were thought to be treatment-related. Clinical signs that were considered to be treatment-related included: thinness, nasal discharge, lethargy, soiled anal area, anal discharge, wheezing. The high dose group appeared to have a treatment related mean body weight loss when compared to controls. Dose-related skin irritation was observed, ranging from "slight" to "moderate" in the low and high dose groups, respectively. Other treatment-related dermal findings included cracked, flaky and/or leathery skin, crusts and/or hair loss. Reductions in RBC, haemoglobin and haematocrit were seen in the male dose groups. There were no treatment related effects on a variety of clinical chemistry values. Absolute and relative weights for a number of organs were normal, with the following exceptions that were judged to be treatment-related:

- increased relative heart weights for the mid- and high- dose males and females,
- increased absolute and relative spleen weights in treated females, and
- differences in absolute and relative adrenal weights in both male and female treated animals (considered to be stress-related and therefore, indirectly related to treatment).

Gross necropsy findings were confined largely to the skin. Enlarged spleens were seen in the female groups. Microscopic examination of tissues taken at necropsy found proliferative inflammatory changes in the treated skin of all male and female animals in the high dose group. These changes were, in the majority of animals, accompanied by an increase in granulopoiesis of the bone marrow. Four of six high dose males had testicular changes (multifocal or diffuse tubular hypoplasia) that were considered by the study authors to be secondary to the skin and/or weight changes.

In a different study, hydrodesulfurised kerosene was tested in a thirteen-week dermal study using Sprague-Dawley rats. Test material was applied 5x/week to the skin of male and female rats at dose levels of 165, 330 and 495 mg/kg. Aside from skin irritation at the site of application, there were no treatment-related clinical signs during the study. Screening of all animals using a functional observation battery (FOB) did not find any substance-related effects. Ophthalmological examination of all animals also found no treatment-related effects. There were no treatment-related effects on growth rates, hematological or clinical chemical values, or absolute or relative organ weights. Microscopic examination of tissues from animals surviving to termination found no treatment-related changes, with the exception of a minimal degree of a proliferative and inflammatory changes in the skin.

A hydrodesulfurised middle distillate (CAS no. 64742-80-9) has also been tested in a four week inhalation study. In the study, Sprague-Dawley rats were exposed to a nominal concentration of 25mg/m³ kerosene. Exposures were for approximately 6 hr/day, five days each week for four consecutive weeks. There were no treatment-related effects on clinical condition, growth rate, absolute or relative organ weights, or any of the hematological or clinical chemistry determinations. Microscopic examination found no treatment-related changes observed in any tissues.

Carcinogenicity: In addition to the repeat-dose studies discussed above, a number of dermal carcinogenicity studies have been performed on kerosenes or jet fuels. Following the discovery that hydrodesulfurised (HDS) kerosene caused skin tumors in lifetime mouse skin painting studies, the role of dermal irritation in tumor formation was extensively studied. HDS kerosene proved to be a mouse skin tumor promoter rather than initiator, and this promotion required prolonged dermal irritation. If the equivalent dose of kerosene was applied to the skin in manner that did not cause significant skin irritation (eg, dilution with a mineral oil) no skin tumors occurred. Dermal bioavailability studies in mice confirmed that the reduced irritation seen with samples in mineral oil was not due to decreased skin penetration. The effect of chronic acanthosis on the dermal tumorigenicity of a hydrodesulfurised kerosene was studied and the author concluded that hyperplasia was essential for tumor promotion. However, the author also concluded that subacute inflammation did not appear to be a significant factor. A sample of a hydrodesulfurised kerosene has been tested in an initiation-promotion assay in male CD-1 mice. Animal survivals were not effected by exposure to the kerosene. The study's authors concluded that the kerosene was not an initiator but it did show tumor promoting activity.

In-Vitro (Genotoxicity): The potential *in vitro* genotoxicities of kerosene and jet fuel have been evaluated in a variety of studies. Standard Ames assays on two kerosene samples and a sample of Jet A produced negative results with/without activation. Modified Ames assays on four kerosenes also produced negative results (with/without activation) except for one positive assay that occurred with activation. The testing of five kerosene and jet fuel samples in mouse lymphoma assays produced a mixture of negative and positive results. Hydrodesulfurized kerosene tested in a sister chromatid exchange assay produced negative results (with/without activation)

In-Vivo Genotoxicity: Multiple *in vivo* genotoxicity studies have been done on a variety of kerosene-based materials. Four samples of kerosene were negative and a sample of Jet A was positive in *in vivo* bone marrow cytogenetic tests in Sprague-Dawley rats. One of the kerosene samples produced a positive response in male mice and negative results in females when tested in a sister chromatid exchange assay. Both deodorised kerosene and Jet A samples produced negative results in dominant lethal assays. The kerosene was administered to both mice and rats intraperitoneally, while the jet fuel was administered only to mice via inhalation.

Reproductive/Developmental Toxicity Either 0, 20, 40 or 60% (v/v) kerosene in mineral oil was applied to the skin of the rats. The dose per body weight equivalents were 0, 165, 330 and 494 mg/kg. Test material was applied daily, 7 days/week from 14 days pre-mating through 20 days of gestation. There were no treatment-related effects on mortality and no clinical signs of toxicity were observed. There were no compound-related effects on any of the reproductive/developmental parameters. The authors concluded that the no observable effect level (NOEL) for reproductive/developmental toxicity of HDS kerosene under the treatment conditions of the study was 494 mg/kg/day.

Developmental toxicity screening studies on a kerosene and a sample of Jet A have been reported. There were no compound-related deaths in either study. While kerosene produced no clinical signs, the jet fuel produced a dose-related eye

Cutek Extreme (EU)

| | |
|---|--|
| | <p>irritation (or infection). The signs of irritation lasted from 2 to 8 days with most animals showing signs for 3 days. Neither of the test materials had an effect on body weights or food consumption. Examination of offspring at delivery did not reveal any treatment-related abnormalities, soft tissue changes or skeletal abnormalities. The sex ratio of the fetuses was also unaffected by treatment with either of the compounds.</p> |
| <p>PARAFFINIC DISTILLATE, LIGHT, HYDROTREATED (SEVERE)</p> | <p>No significant acute toxicological data identified in literature search.</p> <p>The materials included in the Lubricating Base Oils category are related from both process and physical-chemical perspectives;</p> <p>The potential toxicity of a specific distillate base oil is inversely related to the severity or extent of processing the oil has undergone, since:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The adverse effects of these materials are associated with undesirable components, and ▶ The levels of the undesirable components are inversely related to the degree of processing; ▶ Distillate base oils receiving the same degree or extent of processing will have similar toxicities; ▶ The potential toxicity of <i>residual base oils</i> is independent of the degree of processing the oil receives. ▶ The reproductive and developmental toxicity of the distillate base oils is inversely related to the degree of processing. <p>Unrefined & mildly refined distillate base oils contain the highest levels of undesirable components, have the largest variation of hydrocarbon molecules and have shown the highest potential carcinogenic and mutagenic activities. Highly and severely refined distillate base oils are produced from unrefined and mildly refined oils by removing or transforming undesirable components. In comparison to unrefined and mildly refined base oils, the highly and severely refined distillate base oils have a smaller range of hydrocarbon molecules and have demonstrated very low mammalian toxicity. Mutagenicity and carcinogenicity testing of residual oils has been negative, supporting the belief that these materials lack biologically active components or the components are largely non-bioavailable due to their molecular size.</p> <p>Toxicity testing has consistently shown that lubricating base oils have low acute toxicities. Numerous tests have shown that a lubricating base oil's mutagenic and carcinogenic potential correlates with its 3-7 ring polycyclic aromatic compound (PAC) content, and the level of DMSO extractables (e.g. IP346 assay), both characteristics that are directly related to the degree/conditions of processing</p> <p>Highly and Severely Refined Distillate Base Oils</p> <p>Acute toxicity: Multiple studies of the acute toxicity of highly & severely refined base oils have been reported. Irrespective of the crude source or the method or extent of processing, the oral LD50s have been observed to be >5 g/kg (bw) and the dermal LD50s have ranged from >2 to >5g/kg (bw). The LC50 for inhalation toxicity ranged from 2.18 mg/l to > 4 mg/l. When tested for skin and eye irritation, the materials have been reported as "non-irritating" to "moderately irritating"</p> <p>Testing in guinea pigs for sensitization has been negative</p> <p>Repeat dose toxicity: Several studies have been conducted with these oils. The weight of evidence from all available data on highly & severely refined base oils support the presumption that a distillate base oil's toxicity is inversely related to the degree of processing it receives. Adverse effects have been reported with even the most severely refined white oils - these appear to depend on animal species and/ or the peculiarities of the study.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The granulomatous lesions induced by the oral administration of white oils are essentially foreign body responses. The lesions occur only in rats, of which the Fischer 344 strain is particularly sensitive, ▶ The testicular effects seen in rabbits after dermal administration of a highly to severely refined base oil were unique to a single study and may have been related to stress induced by skin irritation, and ▶ The accumulation of foamy macrophages in the alveolar spaces of rats exposed repeatedly via inhalation to high levels of highly to severely refined base oils is not unique to these oils, but would be seen after exposure to many water insoluble materials. <p>Reproductive and developmental toxicity: A highly refined base oil was used as the vehicle control in a one-generation reproduction study. The study was conducted according to the OECD Test Guideline 421. There was no effect on fertility and mating indices in either males or females. At necropsy, there were no consistent findings and organ weights and histopathology were considered normal by the study's authors.</p> <p>A single generation study in which a white mineral oil (a food/ drug grade severely refined base oil) was used as a vehicle control is reported. Two separate groups of pregnant rats were administered 5 ml/kg (bw)/day of the base oil via gavage, on days 6 through 19 of gestation. In one of the two base oil dose groups, three malformed foetuses were found among three litters. The study authors considered these malformations to be minor and within the normal ranges for the strain of rat.</p> <p>Genotoxicity:</p> <p><i>In vitro</i> (mutagenicity): Several studies have reported the results of testing different base oils for mutagenicity using a modified Ames assay. Base oils with no or low concentrations of 3-7 ring PACs had low mutagenicity indices.</p> <p><i>In vivo</i> (chromosomal aberrations): A total of seven base stocks were tested in male and female Sprague-Dawley rats using a bone marrow cytogenetics assay. The test materials were administered via gavage at dose levels ranging from 500 to 5000 mg/kg (bw). Dosing occurred for either a single day or for five consecutive days. None of the base oils produced a significant increase in aberrant cells.</p> <p>Carcinogenicity: Highly & severely refined base oils are not carcinogens, when given either orally or dermally. The substance is classified by IARC as Group 3:</p> <p>NOT classifiable as to its carcinogenicity to humans.</p> <p>Evidence of carcinogenicity may be inadequate or limited in animal testing.</p> <p>* Q8 MSDS</p> |
| <p>DISTILLATES, PETROLEUM, LIGHT, HYDROTREATED</p> | <p>For "kerosenes"</p> <p>Acute toxicity: Oral LD50s for three kerosenes (Jet A, CAS No. 8008-20-6 and CAS No. 64742-81-0) ranged from > 2 to >20 g/kg. The dermal LD50s of the same three kerosenes were all >2.0 g/kg. Inhalation LC50 values in Sprague-Dawley rats for straight run kerosene (CAS No. 8008-20-6) and hydrodesulfurised kerosene (CAS No. 64742-81-0) were reported to be > 5 and > 5.2 mg/l, respectively. No mortalities in rats were reported in rats when exposed for eight hours to saturated vapor of deodorised kerosene (probably a desulfurised kerosene). Six hour exposures of cats to the same material produced an LC50 of >6.4 mg/l</p> <p>When tested in rabbits for skin irritation, straight run kerosene (CAS No. 8008-20-6) produced "moderate" to "severe" irritation. Six additional skin irritation studies on a range of kerosenes produced "mild" to "severe" irritation.</p> |

Cutek Extreme (EU)

An eye irritation in rabbits of straight run kerosene (CAS No. 8008-20-6) produced Draize scores of 0.7 and 2.0 (unwashed and washed eyes) at 1 hour. By 24 hours, the Draize scores had returned to zero. Eye irritation studies have also been reported for hydrodesulfurized kerosene and jet fuel. These materials produced more irritation in the unwashed eyes at 1 hour than had the straight run kerosene. The eye irritation persisted longer than that seen with straight run kerosene, but by day 7 had resolved.

Straight run kerosene (CAS No. 8008-20-6), Jet A, and hydrodesulfurized kerosene (CAS No. 64742-81-0) have not produced sensitisation when tested in guinea pigs

Repeat-Dose toxicity: Multiple repeat-dose toxicity studies have been reported on a variety of kerosenes or jet fuels. When applied dermally, kerosenes and jet fuels have been shown to produce dermal and systemic effects

Dose levels of 200, 1000 and 2000 mg/kg of a straight run kerosene (CAS No. 8008-20-6) were applied undiluted to the skin of male and female New Zealand white rabbits. The test material was applied 3x/week for 28 days. One male and one female in the 2000 mg/kg dose group found dead on days 10 and 24 respectively were thought to be treatment-related. Clinical signs that were considered to be treatment-related included: thinness, nasal discharge, lethargy, soiled anal area, anal discharge, wheezing. The high dose group appeared to have a treatment related mean body weight loss when compared to controls.

Dose-related skin irritation was observed, ranging from "slight" to "moderate" in the low and high dose groups, respectively.

Other treatment-related dermal findings included cracked, flaky and/or leathery skin, crusts and/or hair loss. Reductions in RBC, haemoglobin and haematocrit were seen in the male dose groups. There were no treatment related effects on a variety of clinical chemistry values. Absolute and relative weights for a number of organs were normal, with the following exceptions that were judged to be treatment-related:

- increased relative heart weights for the mid- and high- dose males and females,
- increased absolute and relative spleen weights in treated females, and
- differences in absolute and relative adrenal weights in both male and female treated animals (considered to be stress-related and therefore, indirectly related to treatment).

Gross necropsy findings were confined largely to the skin. Enlarged spleens were seen in the female groups. Microscopic examination of tissues taken at necropsy found proliferative inflammatory changes in the treated skin of all male and female animals in the high dose group. These changes were, in the majority of animals, accompanied by an increase in granulopoiesis of the bone marrow. Four of six high dose males had testicular changes (multifocal or diffuse tubular hypoplasia) that were considered by the study authors to be secondary to the skin and/or weight changes.

In a different study, hydrodesulfurised kerosene was tested in a thirteen-week dermal study using Sprague-Dawley rats. Test material was applied 5x/week to the skin of male and female rats at dose levels of 165, 330 and 495 mg/kg. Aside from skin irritation at the site of application, there were no treatment-related clinical signs during the study. Screening of all animals using a functional observation battery (FOB) did not find any substance-related effects. Ophthalmological examination of all animals also found no treatment-related effects. There were no treatment-related effects on growth rates, hematological or clinical chemical values, or absolute or relative organ weights. Microscopic examination of tissues from animals surviving to termination found no treatment-related changes, with the exception of a minimal degree of a proliferative and inflammatory changes in the skin.

A hydrodesulfurised middle distillate (CAS no. 64742-80-9) has also been tested in a four week inhalation study. In the study, Sprague-Dawley rats were exposed to a nominal concentration of 25mg/m³ kerosene. Exposures were for approximately 6 hr/day, five days each week for four consecutive weeks. There were no treatment-related effects on clinical condition, growth rate, absolute or relative organ weights, or any of the hematological or clinical chemistry determinations. Microscopic examination found no treatment-related changes observed in any tissues.

Carcinogenicity: In addition to the repeat-dose studies discussed above, a number of dermal carcinogenicity studies have been performed on kerosenes or jet fuels. Following the discovery that hydrodesulfurised (HDS) kerosene caused skin tumors in lifetime mouse skin painting studies, the role of dermal irritation in tumor formation was extensively studied. HDS kerosene proved to be a mouse skin tumor promoter rather than initiator, and this promotion required prolonged dermal irritation. If the equivalent dose of kerosene was applied to the skin in manner that did not cause significant skin irritation (eg, dilution with a mineral oil) no skin tumors occurred. Dermal bioavailability studies in mice confirmed that the reduced irritation seen with samples in mineral oil was not due to decreased skin penetration. The effect of chronic acanthosis on the dermal tumorigenicity of a hydrodesulfurised kerosene was studied and the author concluded that hyperplasia was essential for tumor promotion. However, the author also concluded that subacute inflammation did not appear to be a significant factor. A sample of a hydrodesulfurised kerosene has been tested in an initiation-promotion assay in male CD-1 mice. Animal survivals were not effected by exposure to the kerosene. The study's authors concluded that the kerosene was not an initiator but it did show tumor promoting activity.

In-Vitro (Genotoxicity): The potential *in vitro* genotoxicities of kerosene and jet fuel have been evaluated in a variety of studies. Standard Ames assays on two kerosene samples and a sample of Jet A produced negative results with/without activation. Modified Ames assays on four kerosenes also produced negative results (with/without activation) except for one positive assay that occurred with activation. The testing of five kerosene and jet fuel samples in mouse lymphoma assays produced a mixture of negative and positive results. Hydrodesulfurized kerosene tested in a sister chromatid exchange assay produced negative results (with/without activation)

In-Vivo Genotoxicity: Multiple *in vivo* genotoxicity studies have been done on a variety of kerosene-based materials. Four samples of kerosene were negative and a sample of Jet A was positive in *in vivo* bone marrow cytogenetic tests in Sprague-Dawley rats. One of the kerosene samples produced a positive response in male mice and negative results in females when tested in a sister chromatid exchange assay. Both deodorised kerosene and Jet A samples produced negative results in dominant lethal assays. The kerosene was administered to both mice and rats intraperitoneally, while the jet fuel was administered only to mice via inhalation.

Reproductive/Developmental Toxicity Either 0, 20, 40 or 60% (v/v) kerosene in mineral oil was applied to the skin of the rats. The dose per body weight equivalents were 0, 165, 330 and 494 mg/kg. Test material was applied daily, 7 days/week from 14 days pre-mating through 20 days of gestation. There were no treatment-related effects on mortality and no clinical signs of toxicity were observed. There were no compound-related effects on any of the reproductive/developmental parameters. The authors concluded that the no observable effect level (NOEL) for reproductive/developmental toxicity of HDS kerosene under the treatment conditions of the study was 494 mg/kg/day.

Developmental toxicity screening studies on a kerosene and a sample of Jet A have been reported. There were no

Cutek Extreme (EU)

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>compound-related deaths in either study. While kerosene produced no clinical signs, the jet fuel produced a dose-related eye irritation (or infection). The signs of irritation lasted from 2 to 8 days with most animals showing signs for 3 days. Neither of the test materials had an effect on body weights or food consumption. Examination of offspring at delivery did not reveal any treatment-related abnormalities, soft tissue changes or skeletal abnormalities. The sex ratio of the fetuses was also unaffected by treatment with either of the compounds.</p> <p>No significant acute toxicological data identified in literature search.</p> |
| <p>3-iodo-2-propynyl BUTYL CARBAMATE</p> | <p>for 3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate (IPBC):</p> <p>Acute toxicity: Acceptable acute toxicity studies with IPBC indicate low toxicity except eye irritation. In a primary eye irritation study in rabbit. IPBC technical was severely irritating to the eyes of white rabbits, with corneal opacity and corneal vascularization reported in unwashed eyes by day 21 post-treatment. The technical grade of IPBC was slightly irritating to the skin of white rabbits. In a dermal sensitization study in Guinea pigs IPBC technical, at a concentration of 0.32%, produced no evidence of sensitization in male and female Guinea pigs.</p> <p>Subchronic toxicity: In a subchronic oral toxicity study, male and female Sprague-Dawley rats received IPBC technical by gavage for 13 weeks at doses of 0, 20, 50, and 125 mg/kg/day. At the 125 mg/kg/day dose level, body weight gain was decreased by 19% in male rats for weeks 1-13 of the study, and by 12% in female rats over the same period. Absolute liver weight was increased by 20% in male rats at the 125 mg/kg/day dose, and by 31% in female rats at this dose level. Liver to body weight ratio was significantly increased by approximately 31% in both male and female rats at the 125 mg/kg/day dose level, while kidney to body weight ratio in female rats was increased 18% at the 125 mg/kg/day dose level. The systemic NOEL was considered to be 20 mg/kg/day, while the systemic LEL was considered to be 50 mg/kg/day, based on increased liver to body weight ratio.</p> <p>In a subchronic dermal toxicity study, male and female Sprague-Dawley rats (10/sex/dose) received dermal doses of 50, 200, and 500 mg/kg/day IPBC technical grade (97.5%) to the shaved skin for five days a week, six hours per day. At the 500 mg/kg/day dose, decreased body weight (4-6%) and weight gain (11%) were observed in male rats, but not in female rats. In female rats, significant increases in haemoglobin, haematocrit, and eosinophils were observed at the 500 mg/kg/day dose level. Reticulocytes as a percentage of red cells were decreased in the 50 and 200 mg/kg/day dose groups but not at the 500 mg/kg/day dose level. Females in this study showed inhibition of plasma cholinesterase at 500 mg/kg/day test article, which may have been the result of either direct liver toxicity or inhibition of cholinesterase itself. Based upon the results of this study, the systemic NOEL is 200 mg/kg/day, the systemic LEL is 500 mg/kg/day for male and female rats.</p> <p>Carcinogenicity: In a 2-year chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study, technical grade IPBC (98.68% ai) was administered to male and female Sprague Dawley rats (50/sex/group) at dose levels of 0, 20, 40, and 80 mg/kg/day. There were no statistically significant increases in tumor incidences in male rats. The incidence of mammary gland fibroadenoma and combined fibroadenoma/carcinoma in female rats was significantly increased at the 20 mg/kg/day dose level but there was no dose-related trend.</p> <p>Developmental and reproductive toxicity: The developmental toxicity of IPBC was assessed in pregnant Sprague-Dawley rats on gestation days six through 15 by oral administration of the test chemical at doses of 0, 20, 50, and 125 mg/kg/day. Maternal toxicity as reduced body weight gain during dosing was observed at the 125 mg/kg/day dose level. Developmental toxicity consisted of an increased incidence of skeletal abnormalities at the 125 mg/kg/day dose level. The maternal toxicity NOEL was determined to be 50 mg/kg/day, and the maternal toxicity LEL was determined to be 125 mg/kg/day, based on reduced body weight gain. The developmental toxicity NOEL was determined to be 50 mg/kg/day, and the developmental toxicity LEL was determined to be 125 mg/kg/day, based on incompletely ossified frontal skull bones and pelvic girdles.</p> <p>A 2-generation reproductive toxicity study was conducted in male and female Sprague-Dawley rats. IPBC technical was administered over two generations at doses of 0, 120, 300, and 750 ppm (0, 6, 15, and 37.5 mg/kg/day). Reduced body weight and food consumption was observed for P1 and F1 males during the pre-mating period at the 37.5 mg/kg/day dose. A decreased mean live birth index was reported for P1 and F1 generations without an effect on viability and development of pups. No adverse effects on reproductive indices or mating performance were observed at any dose level. The parental toxicity NOEL was determined to be 15 mg/kg/day, and the parental toxicity LEL was determined to be 37.5 mg/kg/day, based on decreased body weight and food consumption during pre-mating for P1 and F1 males, and decreased mean live birth index for the P1 and F1 generations. The reproductive toxicity NOEL was determined to be 37.5 mg/kg/day, and the reproductive toxicity LEL was determined to be >37.5 mg/kg/day.</p> <p>Mutagenicity: In a mutagenicity study, IPBC technical was tested for the ability to cause mutations in <i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> strains TA 1535, TA 1537, TA 1538, TA 98, and TA 100. In the five strains used, IPBC was found to be non-mutagenic in the presence or absence of metabolic activation at the concentrations tested, 1-1000 jig/plate. In a micronucleus assay in mice, IPBC at doses of 200, 600, and 2000 mg/kg did not induce any significant increase of the PCE containing micronuclei from the treated mice when compared to that of the vehicle control mice. In two independent unscheduled DNA synthesis (UDS) assays in primary rat hepatocytes, eight doses of IPBC ranging from 3.0 to 13.5 ug/ml did not cause an appreciable increase in mean net nuclear grain counts. Doses >13.5 ug/ml were cytotoxic, supporting the conclusion that IPBC induced cytotoxicity but no genotoxicity in this assay.</p> <p>Metabolism: Based on the metabolite identification data, a scheme for metabolism of IPBC was proposed. According to this scheme, IPBC undergoes reductive dehalogenation followed by dealkylation to form the URM-9 and URM-10 metabolites. In addition, de-carboxylation following reductive dehalogenation yields carbon dioxide. Various other metabolites formed from dehalogenation are glucuronidated and constitute minor metabolites of IPBC..</p> |
| <p>4,5-DICHLORO-2-OCTYL-3(2H)-ISOTHIAZOLONE</p> | <p>The following information refers to contact allergens as a group and may not be specific to this product.</p> <p>Contact allergies quickly manifest themselves as contact eczema, more rarely as urticaria or Quincke's oedema. The pathogenesis of contact eczema involves a cell-mediated (T lymphocytes) immune reaction of the delayed type. Other allergic skin reactions, e.g. contact urticaria, involve antibody-mediated immune reactions. The significance of the contact allergen is not simply determined by its sensitisation potential: the distribution of the substance and the opportunities for contact with it are equally important. A weakly sensitising substance which is widely distributed can be a more important allergen than one with stronger sensitising potential with which few individuals come into contact. From a clinical point of view, substances are noteworthy if they produce an allergic test reaction in more than 1% of the persons tested.</p> <p>Asthma-like symptoms may continue for months or even years after exposure to the material ceases. This may be due to a</p> |

Cutek Extreme (EU)

non-allergenic condition known as reactive airways dysfunction syndrome (RADS) which can occur following exposure to high levels of highly irritating compound. Key criteria for the diagnosis of RADS include the absence of preceding respiratory disease, in a non-atopic individual, with abrupt onset of persistent asthma-like symptoms within minutes to hours of a documented exposure to the irritant. A reversible airflow pattern, on spirometry, with the presence of moderate to severe bronchial hyperreactivity on methacholine challenge testing and the lack of minimal lymphocytic inflammation, without eosinophilia, have also been included in the criteria for diagnosis of RADS. RADS (or asthma) following an irritating inhalation is an infrequent disorder with rates related to the concentration of and duration of exposure to the irritating substance. Industrial bronchitis, on the other hand, is a disorder that occurs as result of exposure due to high concentrations of irritating substance (often particulate in nature) and is completely reversible after exposure ceases. The disorder is characterised by dyspnea, cough and mucus production.

Guinea Pig Assay: causes sensitisation * Did not show teratogenic effects in animal experiments. * Not mutagenic * *Rohm and Haas MSDS Rozone 2000 Mildewcide

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------|---|
| Acute Toxicity | ⊖ | Carcinogenicity | ⊖ |
| Skin Irritation/Corrosion | ✓ | Reproductivity | ⊖ |
| Serious Eye Damage/Irritation | ✓ | STOT - Single Exposure | ✓ |
| Respiratory or Skin sensitisation | ⊖ | STOT - Repeated Exposure | ⊖ |
| Mutagenicity | ⊖ | Aspiration Hazard | ✓ |

Legend: ✗ – Data available but does not fill the criteria for classification
 ✓ – Data required to make classification available
 ⊖ – Data Not Available to make classification

SECTION 12 ECOLOGICAL INFORMATION

Toxicity

| Ingredient | Endpoint | Test Duration (hr) | Species | Value | Source |
|---|----------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| paraffinic distillate, light, hydrotreated (severe) | EC50 | 48 | Crustacea | >1000mg/L | 1 |
| paraffinic distillate, light, hydrotreated (severe) | EC50 | 48 | Crustacea | >10000mg/L | 1 |
| paraffinic distillate, light, hydrotreated (severe) | NOEC | 504 | Crustacea | >1mg/L | 1 |
| distillates, petroleum, light, hydrotreated | LC50 | 96 | Fish | 2.2mg/L | 4 |
| distillates, petroleum, light, hydrotreated | NOEC | 3072 | Fish | =1mg/L | 1 |
| 3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate | EC50 | 96 | Algae or other aquatic plants | 1.978mg/L | 3 |
| 3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate | EC50 | 96 | Crustacea | 0.0234mg/L | 4 |
| 3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate | LC50 | 96 | Fish | 0.067mg/L | 4 |
| 3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate | NOEC | 48 | Crustacea | <0.01mg/L | 4 |
| 3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate | EC50 | 48 | Crustacea | 0.04mg/L | 5 |
| 4,5-dichloro-2-octyl-3(2H)-isothiazolone | EC50 | 48 | Crustacea | 0.00522mg/L | 4 |
| 4,5-dichloro-2-octyl-3(2H)-isothiazolone | EC50 | 48 | Crustacea | 0.00751mg/L | 4 |
| 4,5-dichloro-2-octyl-3(2H)-isothiazolone | EC50 | 96 | Algae or other aquatic plants | 0.018mg/L | 4 |
| 4,5-dichloro-2-octyl-3(2H)-isothiazolone | LC50 | 96 | Fish | 0.0027mg/L | 4 |
| 4,5-dichloro-2-octyl-3(2H)-isothiazolone | NOEC | 24 | Algae or other aquatic plants | 0.02709408mg/L | 4 |

Continued...

Cutek Extreme (EU)

Legend:

Extracted from 1. IUCLID Toxicity Data 2. Europe ECHA Registered Substances - Ecotoxicological Information - Aquatic Toxicity 3. EPIWIN Suite V3.12 - Aquatic Toxicity Data (Estimated) 4. US EPA, Ecotox database - Aquatic Toxicity Data 5. ECETOC Aquatic Hazard Assessment Data 6. NITE (Japan) - Bioconcentration Data 7. METI (Japan) - Bioconcentration Data 8. Vendor Data

Harmful to aquatic organisms.

When spilled this product may act as a typical oil, causing a film, sheen, emulsion or sludge at or beneath the surface of the body of water. The oil film on water surface may physically affect the aquatic organisms, due to the interruption of the oxygen transfer between the air and the water

Oils of any kind can cause:

- ▶ drowning of water-fowl due to lack of buoyancy, loss of insulating capacity of feathers, starvation and vulnerability to predators due to lack of mobility
- ▶ lethal effects on fish by coating gill surfaces, preventing respiration
- ▶ asphyxiation of benthic life forms when floating masses become engaged with surface debris and settle on the bottom and
- ▶ adverse aesthetic effects of fouled shoreline and beaches

In case of accidental releases on the soil, a fine film is formed on the soil, which prevents the plant respiration process and the soil particle saturation. It may cause deep water infestation.

for lubricating oil base stocks:

Vapor Pressure Vapor pressures of lubricating base oils are reported to be negligible. In one study, the experimentally measured vapour pressure of a solvent-dewaxed heavy paraffinic distillate base oil was $1.7 \times 10 \exp^{-4}$ Pa. Since base oils are mixtures of C15 to C50 paraffinic, naphthenic, and aromatic hydrocarbon isomers, representative components of those structures were selected to calculate a range of vapor pressures. The estimated vapor pressure values for these selected components of base oils ranged from $4.5 \times 10 \exp^{-1}$ Pa to $2 \times 10 \exp^{-13}$ Pa. Based on Dalton's Law the expected total vapour pressure for base oils would fall well below minimum levels ($10 \exp^{-5}$ Pa) of recommended experimental procedures.

Partition Coefficient (log Kow): In mixtures such as the base oils, the percent distribution of the hydrocarbon groups (i.e., paraffins, naphthenes, and aromatics) and the carbon chain lengths determines in-part the partitioning characteristics of the mixture. Generally, hydrocarbon chains with fewer carbon atoms tend to have lower partition coefficients than those with higher carbon numbers. However, due to their complex composition, unequivocal determination of the log Kow of these hydrocarbon mixtures cannot be made. Rather, partition coefficients of selected C15 chain-length hydrocarbon structures representing paraffinic, naphthenic, and aromatic constituents in base oil lubricants were modelled. Results showed typical log Kow values from 4.9 to 7.7, which were consistent with values of >4 for lubricating oil base stocks

Water Solubility: When released to water, base oils will float and spread at a rate that is viscosity dependent. While water solubility of base oils is typically very low, individual hydrocarbons exhibit a wide range of solubility depending on molecular weight and degree of unsaturation. Decreasing molecular weight (i.e., carbon number) and increasing levels of unsaturation increases the water solubility of these materials. As noted for partition coefficient, the water solubility of lubricating base oils cannot be determined due to their complex mixture characteristics. Therefore, the water solubility of individual C15 hydrocarbons representing the different groups making up base oils (i.e., linear and branched paraffins, naphthenes, and aromatics) was modelled. Based on water solubility modelling of those groups, aqueous solubilities are typically much less than 1 ppm. (0.003-0.63 mg/l)

Environmental Fate:

Photodegradation: Chemicals having potential to photolyse have UV/visible absorption maxima in the range of 290 to 800 nm. Some chemicals have absorption maxima significantly below 290 nm and consequently cannot undergo direct photolysis in sunlight (e.g. chemicals such as alkanes, alkenes, alkynes, saturated alcohols, and saturated acids). Most hydrocarbon constituents of the materials in this category are not expected to photolyse since they do not show absorbance within the 290-800 nm range. However, photodegradation of polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) can occur and may be a significant degradation pathway for these constituents of lubricating base oils. The degree and rate at which PAHs may photodegrade depend upon whether conditions allow penetration of light with sufficient energy to effect a change. For example, polycyclic aromatic compounds (PAC) compounds bound to sediments may persist due to a lack of sufficient light penetration

Atmospheric gas-phase reactions can occur between organic chemicals and reactive molecules such as photochemically produced hydroxyl radicals, ozone and nitrogen oxides. Atmospheric oxidation as a result of radical attack is not direct photochemical degradation, but indirect degradation. In general, lubricating base oils have low vapour pressures and volatilisation is not expected to be a significant removal mechanism for the majority of the hydrocarbon components. However, some components (e.g., C15 branched paraffins and naphthenes) appear to have the potential to volatilise. Atmospheric half-lives of 0.10 to 0.66 days have been calculated for representative C15 hydrocarbon components of lubricating base oils

Stability in Water: Chemicals that have a potential to hydrolyze include alkyl halides, amides, carbamates, carboxylic acid esters and lactones, epoxides, phosphate esters, and sulfonic acid esters. Because lubricating base oils do not contain significant levels of these functional groups, materials in the lubricating base oils category are not subject to hydrolysis

Chemical Transport and Distribution in the Environment : Based on the physical-chemical characteristics of component hydrocarbons in lubricating base oils, the lower molecular weight components are expected to have the highest vapour pressures and water solubilities, and the lowest partition coefficients. These factors enhance the potential for widespread distribution in the environment. To gain an understanding of the potential transport and distribution of lubricating base oil components, the EQC (Equilibrium Criterion) model was used to characterize the environmental distribution of different C15 compounds representing different structures found in lube oils (e.g., paraffins, naphthenes, and aromatics). The modelling found partitioning to soil or air is the ultimate fate of these C15 compounds. Aromatic compounds partition principally to soil. Linear paraffins partition mostly to soil, while branching appears to allow greater distribution to air. Naphthenes distribute to both soil and air, with increasing proportions in soil for components with the greater number of ring structures. Because the modelling does not take into account degradation factors, levels modelled in the atmosphere are likely overstated in light of the tendency for indirect photodegradation to occur.

Biodegradation: The extent of biodegradation measured for a particular lubricating oil base stock is dependent not only on the procedure used but also on how the sample is presented in the biodegradation test. Lubricant base oils typically are not readily biodegradable in standard 28-day tests. However, since the oils consist primarily of hydrocarbons that are ultimately assimilated by microorganisms, and therefore inherently biodegradable. Twenty-eight biodegradability studies have been reported for a variety of lubricating base oils. Based on the results of ultimate biodegradability tests using modified Sturm and manometric respirometry testing the base oils are expected to be, for the most part, inherently biodegradable. Biodegradation rates found using the modified Sturm procedure ranged from 1.5 to 29%. Results from the manometric respirometry tests on similar materials showed biodegradation rates from 31 to 50%. Biodegradation rates measured in 21-day CEC tests for similar materials ranged from 13 to 79%.

Ecotoxicity:

Numerous acute studies covering fish, invertebrates, and algae have been conducted to assess the ecotoxicity of various lubricating base oils. None of these studies have shown evidence of acute toxicity to aquatic organisms. Eight, 7-day exposure studies using rainbow trout failed to demonstrate toxicity when tested up to the maximum concentration of 1000 mg/L applied as dispersions. Three, 96-hour tests with rainbow trout also failed to show any toxic effects when tested up to 1000 mg/L applied as dispersions. Similarly, three 96-hour tests with fathead minnows at a maximum test concentration of

Cutek Extreme (EU)

100 mg/L water accommodated fractions (WAF) showed no adverse effects. Two species of aquatic invertebrates (*Daphnia magna* and *Gammarus* sp.) were exposed to WAF solutions up to 10,000 mg/L for 48 and 96-hours, respectively, with no adverse effects being observed. Four-day exposures of the freshwater green alga (*Scenedesmus subspicatus*) to 500 mg/L WAF solutions failed to show adverse effects on growth rate and algal cell densities in four studies

Multiple chronic ecotoxicity studies have shown no adverse effects to daphnid survival or reproduction. In 10 of 11 chronic studies, daphnids were exposed for 21 days to WAF preparations of lubricating base oils with no ill effects on survival or reproduction at the maximum concentration of 1000 mg/L. One test detected a reduction in reproduction at 1000 mg/L. Additional data support findings of no chronic toxicity to aquatic invertebrates and fish. No observed effect levels ranged from 550 to 5,000 mg/L when tested as either dispersions or WAFs.

The data described above are supported by studies on a homologous series of alkanes. The author concluded that the water solubility of carbon chains .C10 is too limited to elicit acute toxicity. This also was shown for alkylbenzene compounds having carbon numbers .C15. Since base oils consist of carbon compounds of C15 to C50, component hydrocarbons that are of acute toxicological concern are, for the most part, absent in these materials. Similarly, due to their low solubility, the alkylated two to three ring polyaromatic components in base oils are not expected to cause acute or chronic toxicity. This lack of toxicity is borne out in the results of the reported studies.

The effects of crude and refined oils on organisms found in fresh and sea water ha been extensively reviewed.

sea water. Where spillages occur the non-mobile species suffer the greatest mortality, whereas fish species can often escape from the affected region.

The extent of the initial mortality depends on the chemical nature of the oil, the location, and the physical conditions, particularly the temperature and wind velocity. Most affected freshwater and marine communities recover from the effects of an oil spill within a year. The occurrence of biogenic hydrocarbons in the world's oceans is well recorded. They have the characteristic isoprenoid structure, and measurements made in water columns indicate a background concentration of 1.0 to 10 ul/l. The higher molecular weight materials are dispersed as particles, with the highest concentrations of about 20 ul/l occurring in the top 3 mm layer of water.

A wide variation in the response of organisms to oil exposures has been noted. The larvae of fish and crustaceans appear to be most susceptible to the water-soluble fraction of crude oil. Exposures of plankton and algae have indicated that certain species of diatoms and green algae are inhibited, whereas microflagellates are not.

For the most part, molluscs and most intertidal worm species appear to be tolerant of oil contamination.

Drinking Water Standards: hydrocarbon total: 10 ug/l (UK max.).

The isothiazolinones are very toxic to marine organisms (fish, *Daphnia magna* and algae)

The high water solubility and low log Kow values of several chlorinated and non-chlorinated indicate a low potential for bioaccumulation.

Studies of 5-chloro-2-methyl-4-isothiazolin-3-one (CMI) in bluegill sunfish (*Lepomis macrochirus*) show BCF values of 102, 114 and 67 at nominal concentrations of 0.02, 0.12 and 0.8 mg/l. The BCF for 2-methyl-4-isothiazolin-3-one (MI) was determined at 2.3 at a nominal concentration of 0.12 mg/l Primary biodegradation of MI and CMI occurred with half-lives of less than 24 hours in aerobic and anoxic sediments, and within a period of less than one week the parent compounds were depleted to very low levels that could not be clearly distinguished from analytical artifacts. The ultimate aerobic biodegradability of both MI and CMI attained levels of > 55% within 29 days. Furthermore, the proposed metabolites of MI and CMI are considered to have a low aquatic toxicity on the basis of QSAR estimates and the measured toxicity of the structurally related N-(n-octyl) malonamic acid.

DO NOT discharge into sewer or waterways.

Persistence and degradability

| Ingredient | Persistence: Water/Soil | Persistence: Air |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|
| 3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate | HIGH | HIGH |
| 4,5-dichloro-2-octyl-3(2H)-isothiazolone | HIGH | HIGH |

Bioaccumulative potential

| Ingredient | Bioaccumulation |
|---|------------------------|
| aromatic hydrocarbons | LOW (BCF = 159) |
| distillates, petroleum, light, hydrotreated | LOW (BCF = 159) |
| 3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate | LOW (LogKOW = 2.4542) |
| 4,5-dichloro-2-octyl-3(2H)-isothiazolone | HIGH (LogKOW = 4.7295) |

Mobility in soil

| Ingredient | Mobility |
|--|-------------------|
| 3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate | LOW (KOC = 365.3) |
| 4,5-dichloro-2-octyl-3(2H)-isothiazolone | LOW (KOC = 5796) |

SECTION 13 DISPOSAL CONSIDERATIONS

Waste treatment methods



Continued...

Cutek Extreme (EU)

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Product / Packaging disposal | <p>Legislation addressing waste disposal requirements may differ by country, state and/ or territory. Each user must refer to laws operating in their area. In some areas, certain wastes must be tracked.</p> <p>A Hierarchy of Controls seems to be common - the user should investigate:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Reduction ▶ Reuse ▶ Recycling ▶ Disposal (if all else fails) <p>This material may be recycled if unused, or if it has not been contaminated so as to make it unsuitable for its intended use. If it has been contaminated, it may be possible to reclaim the product by filtration, distillation or some other means. Shelf life considerations should also be applied in making decisions of this type. Note that properties of a material may change in use, and recycling or reuse may not always be appropriate.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ DO NOT allow wash water from cleaning or process equipment to enter drains. ▶ It may be necessary to collect all wash water for treatment before disposal. ▶ In all cases disposal to sewer may be subject to local laws and regulations and these should be considered first. ▶ Where in doubt contact the responsible authority. ▶ Recycle wherever possible or consult manufacturer for recycling options. ▶ Consult State Land Waste Authority for disposal. ▶ Bury or incinerate residue at an approved site. ▶ Recycle containers if possible, or dispose of in an authorised landfill. |
|-------------------------------------|---|

SECTION 14 TRANSPORT INFORMATION

Labels Required

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| |  |
| Marine Pollutant |  |
| HAZCHEM | •3Z |

Land transport (ADG)

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|
| UN number | 3082 | |
| Packing group | III | |
| UN proper shipping name | ENVIRONMENTALLY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE, LIQUID, N.O.S. (contains 3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate) | |
| Environmental hazard | No relevant data | |
| Transport hazard class(es) | Class | 9 |
| | Subrisk | Not Applicable |
| Special precautions for user | Special provisions | 179 274 331 335 AU01 |
| | Limited quantity | 5 L |

Environmentally Hazardous Substances meeting the descriptions of UN 3077 or UN 3082 are not subject to this Code when transported by road or rail in;

(a) packagings;

(b) IBCs; or

(c) any other receptacle not exceeding 500 kg(L).

- Australian Special Provisions (SP AU01) - ADG Code 7th Ed.

Air transport (ICAO-IATA / DGR)

| | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|
| UN number | 3082 | |
| Packing group | III | |
| UN proper shipping name | Environmentally hazardous substance, liquid, n.o.s. * (contains 3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate) | |
| Environmental hazard | No relevant data | |

Cutek Extreme (EU)

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|----------------|
| Transport hazard class(es) | ICAO/IATA Class | 9 |
| | ICAO / IATA Subrisk | Not Applicable |
| | ERG Code | 9L |
| Special precautions for user | Special provisions | A97 A158 A197 |
| | Cargo Only Packing Instructions | 964 |
| | Cargo Only Maximum Qty / Pack | 450 L |
| | Passenger and Cargo Packing Instructions | 964 |
| | Passenger and Cargo Maximum Qty / Pack | 450 L |
| | Passenger and Cargo Limited Quantity Packing Instructions | Y964 |
| | Passenger and Cargo Limited Maximum Qty / Pack | 30 kg G |

Sea transport (IMDG-Code / GGVSee)

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|
| UN number | 3082 | |
| Packing group | III | |
| UN proper shipping name | ENVIRONMENTALLY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE, LIQUID, N.O.S. (contains 3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate) | |
| Environmental hazard | Marine Pollutant | |
| Transport hazard class(es) | IMDG Class | 9 |
| | IMDG Subrisk | Not Applicable |
| Special precautions for user | EMS Number | F-A, S-F |
| | Special provisions | 274 335 969 |
| | Limited Quantities | 5 L |

SECTION 15 REGULATORY INFORMATION

Safety, health and environmental regulations / legislation specific for the substance or mixture

PARAFFINIC DISTILLATE, LIGHT, HYDROTREATED (SEVERE)(64742-55-8.) IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

Australia Exposure Standards

Australia Hazardous Substances Information System - Consolidated Lists

Australia Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS)

International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) - Agents Classified by the IARC Monographs

DISTILLATES, PETROLEUM, LIGHT, HYDROTREATED(64742-47-8) IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

Australia Exposure Standards

Australia Hazardous Substances Information System - Consolidated Lists

Australia Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS)

International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) - Agents Classified by the IARC Monographs

3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate(55406-53-6) IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

Australia Hazardous Substances Information System - Consolidated Lists

Australia Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS)

4,5-DICHLORO-2-OCTYL-3(2H)-ISOTHIAZOLONE(64359-81-5) IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

Australia Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS)

| National Inventory | Status |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Australia - AICS | Y |
| Canada - DSL | N (4,5-dichloro-2-octyl-3(2H)-isothiazolone) |
| Canada - NDSL | N (distillates, petroleum, light, hydrotreated; 3-iodo-2-propynyl butyl carbamate; paraffinic distillate, light, hydrotreated (severe)) |
| China - IECSC | Y |
| Europe - EINEC / ELINCS / NLP | Y |
| Japan - ENCS | N (paraffinic distillate, light, hydrotreated (severe)) |

Continued...

Cutek Extreme (EU)

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| Korea - KECI | N (4,5-dichloro-2-octyl-3(2H)-isothiazolone) |
| New Zealand - NZIoC | Y |
| Philippines - PICCS | Y |
| USA - TSCA | Y |
| Legend: | Y = All ingredients are on the inventory N = Not determined or one or more ingredients are not on the inventory and are not exempt from listing(see specific ingredients in brackets) |

SECTION 16 OTHER INFORMATION

Other information

Classification of the preparation and its individual components has drawn on official and authoritative sources as well as independent review by the Chemwatch Classification committee using available literature references.

A list of reference resources used to assist the committee may be found at:

www.chemwatch.net

The (M)SDS is a Hazard Communication tool and should be used to assist in the Risk Assessment. Many factors determine whether the reported Hazards are Risks in the workplace or other settings. Risks may be determined by reference to Exposures Scenarios. Scale of use, frequency of use and current or available engineering controls must be considered.

Definitions and abbreviations

PC—TWA: Permissible Concentration-Time Weighted Average
 PC—STEL: Permissible Concentration-Short Term Exposure Limit
 IARC: International Agency for Research on Cancer
 ACGIH: American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists
 STEL: Short Term Exposure Limit
 TEEL: Temporary Emergency Exposure Limit.
 IDLH: Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health Concentrations
 OSF: Odour Safety Factor
 NOAEL :No Observed Adverse Effect Level
 LOAEL: Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level
 TLV: Threshold Limit Value
 LOD: Limit Of Detection
 OTV: Odour Threshold Value
 BCF: BioConcentration Factors
 BEI: Biological Exposure Index

This document is copyright.

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of private study, research, review or criticism, as permitted under the Copyright Act, no part may be reproduced by any process without written permission from CHEMWATCH.

TEL (+61 3) 9572 4700.